

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,803	04/01/2004	Kazuo Tada	01-627	5304
23400 7	590 04/19/2006	•	EXAM	INER
	GROUP, PLC	NORRIS, JEREMY C		
12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE SUITE 101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA	20191	2841		

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>A</i>
		10/814,803	TADA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jeremy C. Norris	2841	
	nis communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress
Period for Reply		V 10 05T TO 5VDIDE 4	MONTH WON OR THEFT	0) DAYO
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FR - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing described and from the set or extended any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Center Many reply patent term adjustment.	OM THE MAILING I er the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ate of this communication. the maximum statutory period period for reply will, by statun n three months after the maili	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may I will apply and will expire SIX (6) M te, cause the application to become	NICATION.  a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on 29.	lune 2004		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		is action is non-final.		
<u> </u>	n condition for allowa	ance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance wit		·	•	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pend	ding in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)				
5) Claim(s) is/are alle	owed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rej	ected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are ob	jected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subjec	t to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is object	ted to by the Examin	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _	is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request t	hat any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing shee	t(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is	objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)  Acknowledgment is made a) All b) Some * c) ☐	-	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
•	· · ·	nts have been received.		
		nts have been received in		
•	•		en received in this National	Stage
• •		au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed	Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies n	ot received.	
Attachment(s)		🗖		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> </ol>	2) vina Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interviev Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			of Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-13, drawn to a printed circuit board, classified in class 174, subclass 257.
- II. Claims 14-21, drawn to a method of making a printed circuit board, classified in class 29, subclass 831.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the board of the invention of group I could be formed by a laminating process involving only heating as opposed to heatind and pressurizing as claimed in the invention of group II.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,803

Art Unit: 2841

A telephone call was made to Mr. David Posz on 11 April 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy C. Norris whose telephone number is 571-272-1932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**JCSN** 

Growthoso
Patent Examinen

Technology Center 2800